arXiv:hep-th/9612086v1 9 Dec 1996

hep-th/9612086
preprint UT-763
December, 1996

A New Description of the E_6 Singularity

Tohru Eguchi

Department of Physics
Faculty of Science
University of Tokyo
Tokyo 113, Japan

and

Sung-Kil Yang

Institute of Physics
University of Tsukuba
Ibaraki 305, Japan

Abstract

We discuss a new type of Landau-Ginzburg potential for the E_6 singularity of the form $W = const + (Q_1(x) + P_1(x)\sqrt{P_2(x)})/x^3$ which featured in a recent study of heterotic/typeII string duality. Here Q_1, P_1 and P_2 are polynomials of degree 15,10 and 10, respectively. We study the properties of the potential in detail and show that it gives a new and consistent description of the E_6 singularity.

Recently Seiberg-Witten type construction of exact solutions for $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories has been generalized to the case of exceptional global and local gauge groups by various authors [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. $N = 2$ theories of exceptional gauge symmetries are expected to arise from the degeneration of the K_3 surface in type II compactified string theory and play some basic role in typeII/heterotic duality. On the other hand $SU(2)$ gauge theories with the E_n global symmetry are expected to emerge on the world-volume of a D-brane probe when the background D-branes are at a special geometrical configuration. Since the local symmetry of the space-time string theory corresponds to the global symmetry of the world-volume theory on D-branes, theories with the exceptional local and global symmetries are expected to be closely related to each other.

In ref.[2] by considering the degeneration of K_3 surface to an E_6-type singularity Lerche and Warner obtained a spectral curve for the Seiberg-Witten theory of the E_6 gauge group,

$$z + \Lambda^{24}/z + W_{E_6}(x; t_0, t_3, t_4, t_6, t_7, t_{10}) = 0. \tag{1}$$

Here the potential W_{E_6} is given by

$$W_{E_6} = -u_0(t_i) + \frac{Q_1(x, t_i) \pm P_1(x, t_i)\sqrt{P_2(x, t_i)}}{x^3} \tag{2}$$

with $Q_1(x), P_1(x)$ and $P_2(x)$ being certain polynomials in x with degrees $15, 10$ and 10, respectively. A peculiar feature of the E_6 potential eq.(2) is the appearance of the square root of the polynomial P_2.

In this article we will study the potential (2) in some detail. We shall show that it has a number of remarkable properties and gives a new description of the E_6 singularity and the topological field theory of E_6 type.

Let us recall that in the $SU(N)$ Seiberg-Witten theory the spectral curve is given by [6, 7]

$$z + \Lambda^{2N}/z + 2W_{SU(N)}(x, t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_{N-1}) = 0. \tag{3}$$

Here $W_{SU(N)}$ is an N-th order polynomial in x and is the familiar Landau-Ginzburg superpotential for the A_{N-1}-type topological minimal model

$$A_{N-1}: \quad x^N + u_{N-2}x^{N-2} + u_{N-3}x^{N-3} + \cdots + u_0 = 0. \tag{4}$$

Substitution of $z = y - W_{SU(N)}$ into (3) leads to the Seiberg-Witten curve for the $SU(N)$ gauge theory [8, 9]

$$y^2 = W^2_{SU(N)} - \Lambda^{2N}. \tag{5}$$

Parameter $\{t_i\}$'s are related to $\{u_i\}$'s as $u_i = t_i +$ higher orders and are the flat coordinates of the deformation of the A_{N-1} singularity.

Similarly the spectral curve for the $SO(2N)$ gauge theory is given by [10]

$$z + \Lambda^{2(2N-2)}/z + W_{SO(2N)}(x, t_0, t_2, \cdots, t_{2(N-2)}, t') = 0. \tag{6}$$

$W_{SO(2N)}$ is again the Landau-Ginzburg potential of the D_N-type topological minimal model. It has the form $W_{SO(2N)} = x^{2(N-1)} + t_{2(N-2)}x^{2(N-2)} + \cdots - t'^2/4x^2$ which is obtained by eliminating the variable y from the standard form of the D_N singularity

$$\begin{aligned} D_N: \quad & x^{2(N-1)} + x^2y^2 + u'y + u_{2(N-2)}x^{2(N-2)} \\ & \qquad + u_{2(N-3)}x^{2(N-3)} + \cdots + u_2x^2 + u_0 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In the following we would like to discuss the relation of the potential eq.(2) and the standard form of the E_6 singularity

$$E_6: \quad x^4 + y^3 + u_{10}x^2y + u_7xy + u_6x^2 + u_4y + u_3x + u_0 = 0. \tag{8}$$

We shall show that the topological field theory described by the potential eq.(2) is equivalent to that of the conventional E_6 singularity theory (8). In particular the free-energies of the theories exactly agree with each other. Thus the superpotential eq.(2) may be regarded as a novel single-variable description of the E_6 singularity. We expect that it gives rise to a new type of integrable hierarchy as is the case of the well-known KP hierarchy which arises ¿from the theory of $A - D$ singularities.

Let us first write down explicitly the polynomials Q_1, P_1, P_2 [2],

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(x;t_i) = {} & 270x^{15} + (171 + 57\sqrt{3})t_{10}x^{13} + (54 + 27\sqrt{3})t_{10}^2x^{11} \\ & + (126 + 84\sqrt{3})t_7x^{10} + \Big((\frac{35}{4} + \frac{175}{36}\sqrt{3})t_{10}^3 + (144 + 72\sqrt{3})t_6\Big)x^9 \\ & + (\frac{135}{2} + \frac{81}{2}\sqrt{3})t_{10}t_7x^8 + \Big((\frac{225}{4} + \frac{125}{4}\sqrt{3})t_6t_{10} + (\frac{245}{384} + \frac{35}{96}\sqrt{3})t_{10}^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+(135+81\sqrt{3})t_4\Big)x^7+\Big((126+72\sqrt{3})t_3+(10+\frac{35}{6}\sqrt{3})t_{10}^2t_7\Big)x^6\\
&+\Big((\frac{63}{4}+9\sqrt{3})t_7^2+(36+21\sqrt{3})t_{10}t_4+(\frac{11}{768}+\frac{19}{2304}\sqrt{3})t_{10}^5\\
&+(\frac{21}{4}+3\sqrt{3})t_{10}^2t_6\Big)x^5\\
&+\Big((\frac{33}{2}+\frac{19}{2}\sqrt{3})t_{10}t_3+(\frac{19}{48}+\frac{11}{48}\sqrt{3})t_{10}^3t_7+(24+14\sqrt{3})t_7t_6\Big)x^4\\
&+(-\frac{11}{8}-\frac{19}{24}\sqrt{3})t_{10}t_7^2x^3+(\frac{45}{4}+\frac{13}{2}\sqrt{3})t_7t_3x+(\frac{5}{8}+\frac{13}{36}\sqrt{3})t_7^3,
\end{aligned}
\tag{9}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_1(x;t_i)=&\,78x^{10}+(30+10\sqrt{3})t_{10}x^8+(\frac{14}{3}+\frac{7}{3}\sqrt{3})t_{10}^2x^6+(\frac{33}{2}+11\sqrt{3})t_7x^5\\
&+\Big((\frac{1}{4}+\frac{5}{36}\sqrt{3})t_{10}^3+(16+8\sqrt{3})t_6\Big)x^4+(\frac{25}{12}+\frac{5}{4}\sqrt{3})t_{10}t_7x^3\\
&+\Big((5+3\sqrt{3})t_4+(\frac{7}{3456}+\frac{1}{864}\sqrt{3})t_{10}^4+(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{5}{12}\sqrt{3})t_6t_{10}\Big)x^2\\
&+(-\frac{7}{2}-2\sqrt{3})t_3x+(-\frac{7}{12}-\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3})t_7^2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{10}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_2(x;t_i)=&\,12x^{10}+(6+2\sqrt{3})t_{10}x^8+(\frac{4}{3}+\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3})t_{10}^2x^6+(6+4\sqrt{3})t_7x^5\\
&+\Big((8+4\sqrt{3})t_6+(\frac{1}{8}+\frac{5}{72}\sqrt{3})t_{10}^3\Big)x^4+(\frac{5}{3}+\sqrt{3})t_{10}t_7x^3\\
&+\Big((10+6\sqrt{3})t_4+(\frac{7}{1728}+\frac{1}{432}\sqrt{3})t_{10}^4+(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{5}{6}\sqrt{3})t_{10}t_6\Big)x^2\\
&+(14+8\sqrt{3})t_3x+(\frac{7}{12}+\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3})t_7^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{11}
$$

(Our parametrizations are different from those of [2]. Relation between our t_i's and w_i's of ref.[2] is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&w_1=\frac{1}{12}(3+\sqrt{3})t_{10},\, w_2=\frac{1}{24}(3+2\sqrt{3})t_7,\\
&w_3=\frac{1}{24}(2+\sqrt{3})t_6-\frac{1}{6912}(9+5\sqrt{3})t_{10}^3,\\
&w_4=-\frac{1}{96}(5+3\sqrt{3})t_4-\frac{1}{331776}(7+4\sqrt{3})t_{10}^4+\frac{1}{1152}(9+5\sqrt{3})t_6t_{10},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
w_5 &= -\frac{1}{96}(7+4\sqrt{3})t_3 - \frac{1}{6912}(12+7\sqrt{3})t_7 t_{10}^2, \\
w_6 &= \frac{1}{55296}(19+11\sqrt{3})t_4 t_{10}^2 - \frac{1}{1990656}(33+19\sqrt{3})t_6 t_{10}^3 \\
&+\frac{1}{4608}(7+4\sqrt{3})t_6^2 - \frac{1}{55296}(33+19\sqrt{3})t_7^2 t_{10} - \frac{1}{576}(45+26\sqrt{3})t_0.)
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Note that the polynomials P_1, P_2 are related to each other by an identity

$$P_1 = -P_2 + \frac{3}{4}x\frac{dP_2}{dx}. \tag{13}$$

For the sake of the definiteness let us choose the + sign in eq.(2). We also introduce a normalization factor so that the E_6 potential is now given by

$$W_{E_6} = \frac{1}{270+156\sqrt{3}}\Big(-u_0 + \frac{Q_1 + P_1\sqrt{P_2}}{x^3}\Big). \tag{14}$$

Here u_0 is expressed in terms of $\{t_i\}$'s as

$$\begin{aligned}
u_0 = &-(270+156\sqrt{3})t_0 - \frac{1}{16}(19+11\sqrt{3})t_{10}^2 t_4 - \frac{1}{576}(33+19\sqrt{3})t_{10}^3 t_6 \\
&-\frac{1}{4}(21+12\sqrt{3})t_6^2 - \frac{1}{16}(33+19\sqrt{3})t_{10} t_7^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Expansion of W_{E_6} at $x=\infty$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{E_6} = &x^{12} + t_{10}x^{10} + \frac{3}{8}t_{10}^2 x^8 + t_7 x^7 + (t_6 + \frac{7}{108}t_{10}^3)x^6 + \frac{1}{2}t_{10}t_7 x^5 \\
&+(t_4 + \frac{5}{12}t_{10}t_6 + \frac{35}{6912}t_{10}^4)x^4 + (t_3 + \frac{5}{72}t_{10}^2 t_7)x^3 \\
&+(\frac{1}{8}t_7^2 + \frac{1}{24}t_{10}^2 t_6 + \frac{1}{4}t_{10}t_4 + \frac{1}{6912}t_{10}^5)x^2 + (\frac{1}{6}t_7 t_6 + \frac{1}{6}t_{10}t_3 + \frac{1}{432}t_{10}^3 t_7)x \\
&+t_0 + \frac{1}{24}t_6^2 + \frac{1}{144}t_{10}^2 t_4 + \frac{1}{144}t_{10}t_7^2 + \frac{1}{1728}t_{10}^3 t_6 + \frac{1}{1492992}t_{10}^6 \\
&+\Big(\frac{1}{12}(1-\sqrt{3})t_6 t_4 + \frac{1}{24}(1-\sqrt{3})t_7 t_3\Big)x^{-2} + \cdots .
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The precise dependence of the polynomials Q_1, P_1, P_2 and u_0 on the flat coordinates t_i ($i = 0, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10$) has been determined by requiring the following relations on the residue integrals

$$t_{10-i} = \frac{12}{i+1} \oint dx W_{E_6}(x;t_j)^{\frac{i+1}{12}}, \quad i \in I_{E_6} \equiv \{0,3,4,6,7,10\}, \tag{17}$$

$$0 = \oint dx W_{E_6}(x;t_j)^{\frac{i+1}{12}}, \qquad i \notin I_{E_6} \tag{18}$$

where the integral is around $x = \infty$.

We note that the relations eq.(17),(18) are analogues of those well-known in the singularity theory of A_{N-1}-type [11]

$$t_{N-2-i} = \frac{1}{i+1} \oint dx W_{A_{N-1}}(x;t_i)^{\frac{i+1}{N}}, \quad i \in I_{A_{N-1}} \equiv \{0,1,2,\cdots,N-2\}. \tag{19}$$

When $i = N-1$, the right-hand-side of (19) vanishes automatically since $W_{A_{N-1}}$ is a polynomial in x.

Further relations are known to hold for one-point functions [12]

$$\langle \sigma_n(\phi_i) \rangle = c^N_{n,i} \oint dx W_{A_{N-1}}(x;t_i)^{\frac{i+1}{N}+n+1}, \; i \in I_{A_{N-1}}, \; n = 0,1,2,\cdots \tag{20}$$

$$c^N_{n,i} = \Pi^{n+1}_{m=0}(i+1+Nm)^{-1}. \tag{21}$$

Here ϕ_i denotes the primary field $\phi_i = \partial W_{A_{N-1}}/\partial t_i$ and $\sigma_n(\phi_i)$ is the n-th gravitational descendant of ϕ_i. (20) gives descendant one-point functions (or two-point functions $\langle P\sigma_{n+1}(\phi_i)\rangle$ with the puncture operator P) and thus equals the Gelfand-Dikii potentials of the KP hierarchy in the dispersionless limit.

In our case primary fields are again defined by the derivatives of the potential

$$\phi_i = \frac{\partial W_{E_6}}{\partial t_i}, \tag{22}$$

and one-point functions of their descendants are given by

$$\langle \sigma_n(\phi_i) \rangle = 12^{n+2}\, c^{12}_{n,i}\, \eta_{i,10-i} \oint dx W_{E_6}(x;t_i)^{\frac{i+1}{12}+n+1}, \quad i \in I_{E_6} \tag{23}$$

$$\oint dx W_{E_6}(x;t_i)^{\frac{i+1}{12}+n} = 0, \qquad i \notin I_{E_6} \qquad n = 0,1,2,\cdots. \tag{24}$$

Here η_{ij} is the topological metric defined by

$$\eta_{ij} = \frac{12^2}{13} \partial_i \partial_j \oint dx W_{E_6}^{13/12} = \langle \phi_i \phi_j P \rangle. \tag{25}$$

Its non-vanishing elements are given by $\eta_{0,10} = 1, \eta_{3,7} = (3 - \sqrt{3})/2, \eta_{4,6} = 2 - \sqrt{3}$. By direct computation we can check that the above vanishing conditions (24) hold with our E_6 potential eq.(14). Eq.(23) gives the Gelfand-Dikii potentials of the E_6 theory.

It is instructive to compare the E_6 superpotential with that of the $SU(12)$ theory. E_6 and $SU(12)$ have the same Coxeter number 12 and hence their potentials have the same leading term x^{12}. Recall that the Landau-Ginzburg potential of A_{N-1} theory is given by the determinant [11]

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{dW_{A_{N-1}}}{dx}(x, t'_i) = \begin{vmatrix} x & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t'_{N-2} & x & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t'_{N-3} & t'_{N-2} & x & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ .. & .. & .. & .. & .. & .. \\ t'_2 & .. & .. & .. & x & -1 \\ t'_1 & t'_2 & .. & t'_{N-3} & t'_{N-2} & x \end{vmatrix}. \tag{26}$$

By expanding the determinant and setting the parameters $t'_1, t'_2, t'_5, t'_8, t'_9$ to zero one finds that $W_{A_{11}}$ reproduces the "positive" part of the E_6 potential,

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{A_{11}}(x; t'_0, t'_3, t'_4, t'_6, t'_7, t'_{10}, t'_1 = t'_2 = t'_5 = t'_8 = t'_9 = 0) \\ & = W_{E_6}(x; t_0, t_3, t_4, t_6, t_7, t_{10})|_+, \ \ t_i = 12 t'_i, \ i \in I_{E_6} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

($|_+$ denotes the sum of terms with non-negative powers of x). It seems likely that one generates the E_6 potential by starting from that of $SU(12)$ and demanding the vanishing conditions (24) which would uniquely fix the negative part of W_{E_6}. We note that the positive parts of the primary fields of the E_6 theory $\phi_i|_+$ ($i \in I_{E_6}$) coincide with the primary fields ϕ_i ($i \in I_{E_6} \subset I_{SU(12)}$) of $SU(12)$ theory since primary fields are defined by the derivatives of the potentials.

Let us next look at the closure of the operator product expansion (OPE) in the E_6 theory. In the case of the A_{N-1} theory the closure of the operator algebra is more or less obvious since the set of the exponents $I_{A_{N-1}} = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, N-2$ does not have "missing" degrees except for $i = N-1$ which is the degree of dW/dx and interpreted as the BRS trivial operator. On the other hand in the case of E_6 the exponents $I_{E_6} = 0, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10$ have several missing degrees and it is not obvious how the closure of OPE can be achieved.

Now we would like to show that OPE nevertheless holds with the E_6 Landau-Ginzburg potential eq.(14) and leads to the structure constants (3-point functions) which agree completely with those of the standard E_6 theory of eq.(8).

We first recall the general structure of the operator product expansion in the Landau-Ginzburg theory,

$$\phi_i(x)\phi_j(x) = Q_{ij}(x)W(x)' + \sum_{\ell \in I} c_{ij}{}^{\ell}\phi_\ell(x), \quad i, j \in I. \tag{28}$$

Here the functions Q_{ij} (contact terms) are defined by

$$\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial t_j} = \frac{\partial Q_{ij}}{\partial x}. \tag{29}$$

In the case of the A_{N-1} theory it is easy to show that

$$Q_{ij}(x) = \phi_{i+j+1-N}(x) \tag{30}$$

and $Q_{ij}(x)$ vanishes when $i + j < N - 1$.

In the E_6 case, however, $Q_{ij}(x)$ does not vanish for any value of i, j and behaves as $Q_{ij}(x) \approx x^{i+j+1-N}$. When one considers the operator product $\phi_i(x)\phi_j(x)$ with $i + j \notin I_{E_6}$, the leading term x^{i+j} is canceled by the BRS-exact piece in the right-hand-side of (28) and the remaining terms can be written as the sum over primary fields. This is the mechanism of how the OPE works in the E_6 theory. Direct check of eq.(28) is non-trivial since both sides of OPE become infinite series when expanded around $x = \infty$.

Using the algebraic computation software (Maple) we have verified the above OPE relations directly by substituting the expressions for W, $\phi_i = \frac{\partial W}{\partial t_i}$ and $Q_{ij} = \int dx \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t_i \partial t_j}$ into eq.(28) without expanding the square-root of P_2. (x^0-term of Q_{ij} is given by 1/12 of x^0-term of $\phi_{i+j-11}^{SU(12)}$ where

$\phi_j^{SU(12)}$ is the primary field of $SU(12)$ theory at $t'_i = t_i/12$, $i \in I_{E_6}$ and $t'_i = 0$, $i \notin I_{E_6}$). At the same time we have determined the structure constants $\{c_{ij}{}^{\ell}\}$ and verified that they agree with the 3-point functions $\eta_{k\ell} c_{ij}{}^{\ell} = c_{ijk} = \partial_i \partial_j \langle \phi_k \rangle$.

Checking OPE is much simplified if we introduce a new representation of primary fields,

$$\phi_i(x;t_j) = \frac{\partial A_i(x;t_j)}{\partial x}, \qquad i \in I_{E_6} \tag{31}$$

$$A_i(x;t_j) = R_i(x;t_j) + S_i(x;t_j)\sqrt{P_2(x;t_j)}. \tag{32}$$

If we use the identity eq.(13), it is easy to derive

$$\begin{aligned} R_i(x;t_j) &= \frac{\partial Q_2(x;t_j)}{\partial t_i}, \quad Q_2(x;t_j) = \int^x (-u_0(t_j) + \frac{Q_1(x;t_j)}{x^3})dx, \\ S_i(x;t_j) &= \frac{3}{4x^2}\frac{\partial P_2(x;t_j)}{\partial t_i}. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

OPE relations reduce to several identities obeyed by the functions R_i, S_i.

Let us now turn to the discussions of the structure constants $\{c_{ij}{}^{\ell}\}$. As is obvious from the definition eq.(28), $c_{ij}{}^{\ell}$ are symmetric in the indices ij, however, not symmetric in the third index ℓ. If one wants to bring them into a fully symmetric form, we have to introduce rescaling factors a_i which convert our flat coordinates into those of the conventional E_6 theory eq.(8). One finds that

$$t_i = a_i T_i, \qquad i \in I, \tag{34}$$

$$C_{ij}{}^{\ell} = \frac{a_i a_j}{a_\ell a_0} c_{ij}{}^{\ell}, \tag{35}$$

where T_i's and $C_{ij}{}^{\ell}$'s are the flat coordinates and structure constants of the conventional E_6 theory [13] (indices of $C_{ij}{}^{\ell}$ are raised and lowered by the metric $\delta_{i+j,10}$). Values of a_i's are given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 192(-45+26\sqrt{3}), & a_3 &= 96\sqrt{2}(7-4\sqrt{3}), \\ a_4 &= 48\cdot 3^{1/3}(-5+3\sqrt{3}), & a_6 &= 48(-2+\sqrt{3}), \\ a_7 &= 8\sqrt{2}\cdot 3^{1/3}(3-2\sqrt{3}), & a_{10} &= -4\cdot 3^{1/3}(3-\sqrt{3}). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

(37)

${C_{ij}}^{\ell}$ can be read off from the formula of the free-energy [13]

$$\begin{aligned}F_{E_6} &= T_0T_4T_6 + T_0T_3T_7 + \frac{T_0^2T_{10}}{2} + \frac{T_3^2T_4}{2} + \frac{T_3T_6^2T_7}{2} + \frac{T_4^2T_7^2}{4} \\ &+\frac{T_6T_7^4}{12} + \frac{T_4^3T_{10}}{6} + \frac{T_3^2T_6T_{10}}{2} + \frac{T_6^4T_{10}}{12} + \frac{T_4T_6T_7^2T_{10}}{2} + \frac{T_3T_7^3T_{10}}{6} \\ &+\frac{T_3T_4T_7T_{10}^2}{2} + \frac{T_6^2T_7^2T_{10}^2}{4} + \frac{T_4T_6^2T_{10}^3}{6} + \frac{T_3T_6T_7T_{10}^3}{6} + \frac{T_7^4T_{10}^3}{24} + \frac{T_3^2T_{10}^4}{24} \\ &+\frac{T_4T_7^2T_{10}^4}{24} + \frac{T_4^2T_{10}^5}{60} + \frac{T_6T_7^2T_{10}^5}{24} + \frac{T_6^2T_{10}^7}{252} + \frac{T_7^2T_{10}^8}{3^2 2^6} + \frac{T_{10}^{13}}{11\cdot 13\cdot 2^4 3^4}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Thus we have established that the single-variable potential (14) describes correctly the E_6 singularity although the appearance of the square root of a polynomial looks odd at first sight. In fact one may consider other examples of Landau-Ginzburg potentials possessing a square root of polynomials. If one considers, for example, the spectral curve of $SU(5)$ theory obtained from the Toda system based on the anti-symmetric representation (10-dim. representation) [7], one finds

$$z + \frac{\Lambda^{10}}{z} + W_{SU(5)}(x;t_i) = 0 \tag{39}$$

with

$$W_{SU(5)}(x;t_i) = \frac{2}{11+5\sqrt{5}}\Big(- u_0 + \frac{q_1(x) + p_1(x)\sqrt{p_2(x)}}{2}\Big). \tag{40}$$

Polynomials q_1, p_1, p_2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned}q_1(x) &= 11x^5 + (6+2\sqrt{5})t_3x^3 + (\frac{7}{2} + \frac{7}{2}\sqrt{5})t_2x^2 \\ &+((\frac{7}{10} + \frac{3}{10}\sqrt{5})t_3^2 + (6+2\sqrt{5})t_1)x + (2+\sqrt{5})t_3t_2\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

$$p_1(x) = 5x^3 + (\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5})t_3x + (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5})t_2 \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{aligned}p_2(x) &= 5x^4 + (3+\sqrt{5})t_3x^2 + (2+2\sqrt{5})t_2x \\ &+(\frac{7}{10} + \frac{3}{10}\sqrt{5})t_3^2 + (6+2\sqrt{5})t_1\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

and

$$u_0 = -\frac{11+5\sqrt{5}}{2}t_0 + \frac{2+\sqrt{5}}{5}t_2 t_3. \tag{44}$$

It is easy to check that this system is equivalent to the standard $SU(5)$ theory described by the superpotential eq.(26) with $N = 5$. Analysis goes completely parallel to the case of the E_6 theory and one finds in the end that the free-energies of the theories (39), (26) coincide.

We recall that by quotienting E_6 by the diagram automorphism one obtains the Lie algebra F_4. The diagram automorphism acts on the parameters as $t_3, t_7 \to -t_3, -t_7$ while other parameters are left unchanged [14]. Thus we conjecture that the spectral curve for the $N = 2$ gauge theory with F_4 gauge group is given by the superpotential (2) with $t_3 = t_7 = 0$.

In this paper we have seen that the spectral curve describing the Seiberg-Witten theory for gauge group G has the form

$$z + \frac{\Lambda^{2g^*}}{z} + W_G(x; t_i) = 0 \tag{45}$$

where W_G is a single-variable Landau-Ginzburg potential for the singularity of G type (g^* is the dual Coxeter number of G). It is known that actually the term $z + \Lambda^{2g^*}/z$ is also the Landau-Ginzburg potential for the σ-model with CP^1 target space [15]. Thus (44) gives a sum of potentials for the CP^1 and the ALE spaces and therefore the K_3-fibered Calabi-Yau manifolds at the degeneration limits. It is quite interesting to see if one can develop a precise connection between the $N = 2$ gauge theory and the topological σ-model with the Calabi-Yau target space.

Research of T.E. and S.K.Y are supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Area 213 "Infinite Analysis", Japan Ministry of Education.

References

[1] J.A. Minahan and D. Nemeshansky *An* $N = 2$ *Superconformal Fixed Point with Global* E_6 *Symmetry*, hep-th/9608047.

[2] W. Lerche and N.P. Warner *Exceptional SW Geometry from ALE Fibrations*, hep-th/9608183.

[3] J.A. Minahan and D. Nemeshansky *Superconformal fixed Points with* E_n *Global Symmetry*, hep-th/9610076.

[4] O.J. Ganor, *Toroidal Compactification of 6D Non-critical Strings down to 4 Dimensions*, hep-th/9608109.

[5] O.J. Ganor, D. Morrison and N. Seiberg, *Branes, Calabi-Yau Spaces, and Toroidal Compactification of the* $N = 1$ *Six-Dimensional* E_8 *Theory.*

[6] A. Gorsky, I. Krichever, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozof, Phys. Lett. **B355** (1995) 466.

[7] E.J. Martinec and N.P. Warner, Nucl. Phys. **B459** (1996) 97.

[8] P. Argyres and A. Faraggi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 3931.

[9] A. Klemm, W. Lerche, S. Theisen and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Lett. **B344** (1995) 169.

[10] A. Brandhuber and K. Landsteiner, Phys. Lett. **B358** (1995) 73.

[11] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B352** (1991) 59.

[12] T. Eguchi, H. Kanno, Y. Yamada and S.-K. Yang, Phys. Lett. **305B** (1993) 235; T. Eguchi, Y. Yamada and S.-K. Yang, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8** (1993) 1627.

[13] A. Klemm, S. Theisen and M.G. Schmidt, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7** (1992) 6515.

[14] J.-B. Zuber, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A9** (1994) 749.

[15] T. Eguchi and S.-K. Yang, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A9** (1994) 2893; T. Eguchi, K. Hori and S.-K. Yang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A10** (1995) 4203.